



:::: DISCLAIMER :::

The following document was uploaded by [ballotpedia.org](http://ballotpedia.org) staff with the written permission of the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research for non-commercial use only. It is not intended for redistribution.

For information on rights and usage of this file, please contact:

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

P.O. Box 1248

Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

[www.icpsr.umich.edu](http://www.icpsr.umich.edu)

For general information on rights and usage of

Ballotpedia content, please contact:

[editor@ballotpedia.org](mailto:editor@ballotpedia.org)



**ICPSR**  
**Inter-university Consortium for**  
**Political and Social Research**

---

## Referenda and Primary Election Materials

---

### Part 30: Referenda Elections for South Carolina

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

ICPSR 0006

This document was previously available in paper format only. It was converted to Portable Document Format (PDF), with no manual editing, on the date below as part of ICPSR's electronic document conversion project. The document may not be completely searchable. No additional updating of this collection has been performed (pagination, missing pages, etc.).

June 2002



---

**Referenda and Primary Election Materials**

---

**Part 30: Referenda Elections for South Carolina**

**Inter-university Consortium for**  
**Political and Social Research**

**ICPSR 0006**



REFERENDA AND PRIMARY ELECTION MATERIALS

(ICPSR 0006)

Principal Investigator

Inter-university Consortium for  
Political and Social Research

Inter-university Consortium for  
Political and Social Research  
P.O. Box 1248  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106



BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION, ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF ASSISTANCE  
AND DATA DISCLAIMER

All manuscripts utilizing data made available through the Consortium should acknowledge that fact as well as identify the original collector of the data. In order to get such source acknowledgment listed in social science bibliographic utilities, it is necessary to present them in the form of a footnote or a reference. The bibliographic citation for this data collection is:

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research. REFERENDA AND PRIMARY ELECTION MATERIALS [Computer file]. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [producer and distributor], 1990.

The ICPSR Council also urges all users of ICPSR data to follow some adaptation of the following assistance/disclaimer statement, with the parentheses indicating items to be filled in appropriately or deleted by the individual user.

The data (and tabulations) utilized in this (publication) were made available (in part) by the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research. The data for REFERENDA AND PRIMARY ELECTION MATERIALS were originally collected and prepared by Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research. Neither the collector of the original data nor the Consortium bears any responsibility for the analyses or interpretations presented here.

In order to provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, each user of ICPSR data resources is expected to send two copies of each completed manuscript or thesis abstract to the Consortium. Please indicate in the cover letter which data were used.





REFERENDA

Var. #

Description

1

ICPSR State Code 48

2

County or State Name

3

Identification Number

Unique numeric identification number assigned to each county or independent city within a state. The identification number for state-level records is 0000. This identification number, when used in conjunction with the ICPSR state code, uniquely identifies each unit of analysis in the data file.

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 212,123; No = 127,448

No. 1: Shall Article VII of the Constitution of this State be amended to permit the establishment of regional councils of governments?

4

Vote Yes (For)

5

Vote No (Against)

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 232,821; No = 122,168

No. 2: Shall Section 12 of Article XI of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to permit, with respect to any increase in license fees or taxes imposed after July 1, 1969, on the sale of spirituous, malt, vinous and intoxicating liquor and beverages,

6

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

7

Vote No (Against)

No. 2: (continued) - all or a portion of the proceeds to be applied to the treatment and rehabilitation of alcohol and drug addicts and for the prevention of alcohol and drug addiction?

Source: Supplemental Report of the Secretary of State, O. Frank Thornton to the General Assembly of South Carolina, Election November 5, 1968 and Primary of June 11, 1968

State South Carolina

REFERENDUM

Var. #

Description

1968      Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 217,408; No = 124,127

No. 3: Shall Section 3, Article XVII of the Consti-  
tution of South Carolina, 1895, be amended so as to  
add continuous separation for a period of at  
least three years as an additional ground for di-  
vorce?

8

Vote Yes (For)

9

Vote No (Against)

1968      Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 196,780; No = 128,871

No. 4: Shall Section 1 of Article XVI of the Con-  
stitution of this State be amended so as to pro-  
vide that for the general elections in 1970 and  
1972 proposals may be made for the revision of an  
entire article of the Constitution or the addition

10

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

11

Vote No (Against)

No. 4: (continued) - of a new article as a single amendment with only one question being required to be voted on and to allow constitutional provisions from other articles to be changed if such provisions relate to the subject matter of the article being revised or proposed?

Source: Supplemental Report of the Secretary of State, O. Frank Thornton to the General Assembly of South Carolina, Election November 5, 1968 and Primary of June 11, 1968

State South Carolina

REFERENDUM

Var. #

Description

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 118,885; No = 60,512

Aiken County

No. 5: Shall the limitations now imposed by  
Section 7 of Article VIII and Section 5, Article X  
of the Constitution of South Carolina, 1895, be  
further relaxed so as to permit the City of Aiken  
to incur bonded indebtedness for the purpose of

12

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

13

Vote No (Against)

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 107,110; No = 66,919

Richland County

No. 6: Shall Article 7 of Article VIII and Section  
5 of Article X of the Constitution of the State be  
amended so as to increase the limitations of  
bonded indebtedness of the City of Columbia to  
fifteen per cent of the assessed value of taxable

14

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

15

Vote No (Against)

No. 5: (continued) - building, erecting, purchasing, developing, improving, establishing, repairing, extending or maintaining of sidewalks, streets, water-works, lighting plants, sewerage system, fire department, city hall, police station, city jail, city parks, playgrounds, airports, real estate or municipal building to the extent of not exceeding twenty-five per cent of the assessed value of all taxable property thereon?

No. 6: property in the city?

Source: Supplemental Report of the Secretary of State, O. Frank Thornton to the General Assembly of South Carolina, Election November 5, 1968 and Primary of June 11, 1968

State South Carolina

REFERENDUM

Var. #

Description

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 105,898; No = 75,341

No. 1 - Charleston County: Shall the Constitution  
of this State be amended by adding Article III to  
the Articles of Amendments so as to authorize the  
electors of Charleston County to adopt a single  
unit of government and to prescribe the powers

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

Vote No (Against)

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 98,755; No = 76,134

No. 1 - Charleston County: Shall the Constitution  
of this State be amended by adding to proposed  
Article III of the Articles of Amendments a sec-  
tion to provide that the restrictions and limita-  
tions of Article VIII, Section 7 and Article X, Sec-

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

Vote No (Against)



No. 1 - Charleston Co. (continued) - and functions thereof?

No. 1 - Charleston Co. (continued) - tion 5 relating to the incurring of bonded debt shall not apply to the government created by the home rule charter pursuant to the powers, granted to the electors of Charleston County by virtue of the proposed Article III to the Articles of Amendments nor to the territory from time to time under its jurisdiction?

Source: Supplemental Report of the Secretary of State, O. Frank Thornton to the General Assembly of South Carolina, Election November 5, 1968 and Primary of June 11, 1968

State South Carolina

REFERENDUM

Var. #

Description

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 98,519; No = 73,035

No. 1 - Continued (Charleston County): Shall the  
Constitution of this State be amended by adding to  
proposed Article III of the Articles of Amendments  
a section to provide that the restrictions and  
limitations of Article X, Section 5, requiring

20

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

21

Vote No (Against)

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 97,490; No = 73,515

No. 1 (Charleston County) - continued: Shall the  
Constitution of this State be amended by adding to  
proposed Article III of the Articles of Amendments  
a section to provide that the restrictions and limi-  
tations of Article X, Section 5 and Article X,

22

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

23

Vote No (Against)

No. 1 Charleston Co. (continued) - uniformity of taxes in respect to persons and property within the jurisdiction of the body imposing the same shall not apply to the government created by the home rule charter pursuant to the powers granted to the electors of Charleston County by virtue of the proposed Article III to the Articles of Amendments nor to the territory from time to time under the jurisdiction?

No. 1 Charleston Co. (continued) - Section 6 relating to the purposes for which taxes may be levied and bonds issued shall not apply to the government created by the home rule charter pursuant to the powers granted to the electors of Charleston County by virtue of the proposed Article III to the Articles of Amendments nor to the territory from time to time under its jurisdiction?

Source: Supplemental Report of the Secretary of State, O. Frank Thornton to the General Assembly of South Carolina, Election November 5, 1968 and Primary of June 11, 1968

State South Carolina

REFERENDUM

Var. #

Description

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 95,414; No = 71,740

No. 1, Charleston County - continued: Shall the  
Constitution of this State be amended by adding to  
proposed Article III of the Articles of Amendments  
a section to provide that the restrictions and limi-  
tations of Article II, Section 13, relating to

24

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

25

Vote No (Against)

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 98,606; No = 73,459

No. 1, Charleston County - continued: Shall the  
Constitution of this State be amended by adding to  
proposed Article III of the Articles of Amendments  
a section to provide that the restrictions and  
limitations of Article V, Sections 20 and 21 relating

26

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

27

Vote No (Against)

No. 1, Charleston Co. (continued) - special election for bonding municipalities shall not apply to the government created by the home rule charter pursuant to the powers granted to the electors of Charleston County by virtue of the proposed Article III to the Articles of Amendments nor to the territory from time to time under its jurisdiction?

No. 1, Charleston Co. (continued) - to magistrates shall not apply to the government created by the home rule charter pursuant to the powers granted to the electors of Charleston County by virtue of the proposed Article III to the Articles of Amendments nor to the territory from time to time under its jurisdiction?

Source: Supplemental Report of the Secretary of State, O. Frank Thornton to the General Assembly of South Carolina, Election November 5, 1968 and Primary of June 11, 1968

State South Carolina

REFERENDUM

Var. #

Description

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 96,544; No = 71,971

No. 1, Charleston County - continued: Shall the  
Constitution of this State be amended by adding to  
proposed Article III of the Articles of Amendments  
a section to provide that the restrictions and  
limitations of Article VII, Section II relating to

28

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

29

Vote No (Against)

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 96,156; No = 72,094

No. 1, Charleston County - continued: Shall the  
Constitution of this State be amended by adding to  
proposed Article III of the Articles of Amendments  
a section to provide that the restrictions and  
limitations of Article VIII, Section 1 relating to

30

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

31

Vote No (Against)

No. 1, Charleston County (continued) - township government shall not apply to the government created by the home rule charter pursuant to the powers granted to the electors of Charleston County by virtue of the proposed Article III to the Articles of Amendments nor to the territory from time to time under its jurisdiction?

No. 1, Charleston County (continued) - organization and classification of municipal corporations shall not apply to the government created by the home rule charter pursuant to the powers granted to the electors of Charleston County by virtue of the proposed Article III to the Articles of Amendments nor to the territory from time to time under its jurisdiction?

Source: Supplemental Report of the Secretary of State, O. Frank Thornton to the General Assembly of South Carolina, Election November 5, 1968 and Primary of June 11, 1968

State South Carolina

REFERENDUM

Var. #

Description

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 88,776; No = 58,885

No. 2 - Florence County: Shall Section 17 of  
Article I of the Constitution of South Carolina,  
1895, be amended so as to permit the use of the  
power of eminent domain by the Municipalities of  
Florence County or housing or redevelopment authori-

32

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

33

Vote No (Against)

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 96,742; No = 70,366

No.3 - Greenville County: Shall Section 17, Article  
1, Constitution of South Carolina, 1895, be amended  
so as to provide for slum clearance and redevelop-  
ment by Greenville County, incorporated municipali-  
ties, and other public authorities in Greenville

34

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

35

Vote No (Against)



No. 2 - Florence County (continued) - ties functioning in the Municipalities of Florence County for the purpose of slum clearance and redevelopment work in areas within the corporate limits of the Municipalities of Florence County or within the jurisdictional area of the housing authorities of the Municipalities of Florence County which are predominantly slum or blighted, in order to acquire and clear such areas, to prepare them for re-use and for sale or other disposition to private enterprise for private purposes or to public bodies for public purposes, to require that just compensation be paid for property and property rights taken pursuant to such use of the power of eminent domain, and to provide that in cases of condemnation of land, where re-use is for private purposes, the condemnee shall be given the first opportunity to purchase the land when it is sold by the condemnor for such re-use?

No. 3 - Greenville County (continued) - County, in areas, streets, roads and rights of way, including air rights and sub-surface rights, the acquisition of the rights by any lawful means, including the power of eminent domain, and the disposition of the rights?

Source: Supplemental Report of the Secretary of State, O. Frank Thornton to the General Assembly of South Carolina, Election November 5, 1968 and Primary of June 11, 1968

State South Carolina

REFERENDUM

Var. #

Description

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 95,595; No = 72,678

No. 4 - Greenville County: Shall Section 17

Article 1, Constitution of South Carolina, 1895,

be amended so as to provide for the severability and

means of acquisition, eminent domain or otherwise,

of air rights over and sub-surface rights under

36

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

37

Vote No (Against)

1968 Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 92,790; No = 63,713

No. 5 - Spartanburg County: Shall Section 17,

Article 1, Constitution of South Carolina, 1895

be amended so as to provide for the severability

and means of acquisition, eminent domain or

otherwise, of air rights over and sub-surface

38

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

39

Vote No (Against)

No. 4 - Greenville Co. (continued) - the surface of the ground or the surface of streets, roads or rights of way by Greenville County, any incorporated municipality, political subdivision or authority in Greenville County, and to permit the disposition of such rights to any person, corporation or public or private legal entity?

No. 5 - Spartanburg County (continued) - rights under the surface of the ground or the surface of streets, roads or rights of way by Spartanburg County, any incorporated municipality, political subdivision or authority in Spartanburg County, and to permit the disposition of such rights to any person, corporation or public or private legal entity?

Source: Supplemental Report of the Secretary of State, O. Frank Thornton to the General Assembly of South Carolina, Election November 5, 1968 and Primary of June 11, 1968

State South Carolina

REFERENDUM

Var. #

Description

1968      Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1968

Yes = 81,569; No = 55,151

No. 5 - York County: Shall Section 13, Article II,  
of the Constitution of South Carolina, 1895, be  
amended so as to permit the holding of special  
elections in any incorporated municipality in York  
County for the purpose of determining if the municipal

40

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

41

Vote No (Against)

Referendum

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

No. 5 - York County (continued) - council of any such incorporated municipality shall be empowered to issue general obligation bonds of such municipality for any corporate purposes without there first being presented to the municipal council a petition signed by a majority of the freeholders of any incorporated municipality located in York County petitioning and authorizing such elections?

Source: Supplemental Report of the Secretary of State, O. Frank Thornton to the General Assembly of South Carolina, Election November 5, 1968 and Primary of June 11, 1968

State South Carolina

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 157,878; No = 75,095Local Constitutional Amendment No. 1.

"Shall Section 17, Article I, Constitution of South Carolina, 1895, be amended so as to provide for slum clearance and redevelopment by Charleston County, incorporated municipalities and other public authorities in Charleston County, in areas, streets, roads and rights of way, including air rights and sub-surface rights, the acquisition of the rights by any lawful means, including the power of eminent domain, and the disposition of the rights?"

42 \*

Vote Yes (For)

43 \*

Vote No (Against)

1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 150,800; No = 73,358Local Constitutional Amendment No. 2.

"Shall Section 17, Article I, Constitution of South Carolina, 1895, be amended so as to provide for slum clearance and redevelopment by Richland County, incorporated municipalities and other public authorities in Richland County, in areas, streets, roads and rights of way, including air rights and sub-surface rights, the acquisition of the rights by any lawful means, including the power of eminent domain, and the disposition of the rights?"

44

Vote Yes (For)

45

Vote No (Against)

\* Variable numbers are correct as presented. Data received from the state grouped the "yes" votes first and then the "no" votes.

State South Carolina

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 159,461; No = 47,508State Constitutional Amendment No. 1.

"Shall the Constitution of this State be amended by transposing Sections 3, 6, 7, 11 and 28 of Article I to Article III as Section 1A, to Article X as Section 3A and 3B, to Article XVII as Section 1B and to Article XIV as Section 4, respectively; by transposing all of the provisions of Article I, Section 17 except the first paragraph to Article XIV as Section 5 and by substituting a new Article I, which new Article I shall provide for political power in people; religious worship; freedom of speech; assembly and petition; privileges and immunities; due process; protection of laws; attainder ex post facto law; elections free and open; residence; suspension of laws; separation of powers; courts; speedy remedy; searches and seizures; presentment of grand jury; double jeopardy and witnessing against himself; private property for public use; trial by jury-witnesses; bail and punishment; libel; treason; habeas corpus; imprisonment for debt; keep and bear arms; martial law; administrative procedure and provisions of Constitution mandatory?"

46

Vote Yes (For)

47

Vote No (Against)

State South Carolina

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 159,508; No = 44,369State Constitutional Amendment No. 2.

"Shall the Constitution of this State be amended by transposing Sections 2 and 13 of Article II to Article XVII, by transposing the provisions of Section 9 of Article I to Article II and by substituting a new Article II, which new article shall provide for elections by secret ballots; right of suffrage to be protected by law; free exercise of suffrage; definition of an elector; definition of a municipal elector; literacy tests; disqualifications of voters; registration of voters and appeals for those denied; election procedures to be enacted by law; protection of electors from arrest and qualification for office?"

48

Vote Yes (For)

49

Vote No (Against)

1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 141,809; No = 49,207State Constitutional Amendment No. 3.

"Shall Article IX of the Constitution of this State, relating to corporations, be amended so as to replace specific provisions and details relating thereto with provisions placing the power in the General Assembly to provide for corporations including appropriate regulations for common carriers and public utilities?"

50

Vote Yes (For)

51

Vote No (Against)



State South Carolina

## REFERENDA

Var. # :Description1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 154,724; No = 41,758State Constitutional Amendment No. 4.

"Shall Article XII of the Constitution of this State, relating to charitable and penal institutions, be amended by deleting all current provisions and substituting in lieu thereof general provisions for the functions of government as to health, welfare, safety, natural resources and penal institutions with a provision for separate confinement of juveniles under the age of seventeen?"

52

Vote Yes (For)

53

Vote No (Against)

1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 152,625; No = 42,160State Constitutional Amendment No. 5.

"Shall Article XV of the Constitution of this State, relating to impeachment, be amended so as to further specify officials subject to impeachment and to provide that impeachment shall be for cases of serious crimes or serious misconduct in office?"

54

Vote Yes (For)

55

Vote No (Against)

State South Carolina

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 182,327; No = 55,243State Constitutional Amendment No. 1.

"Shall Section 3 of Article II of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to delete the word 'male' as a requirement to be an elector in this State?"

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

56

Vote Yes (For)

57

Vote No (Against)

1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 179,512; No = 52,308Statewide Constitutional Amendment No. 2.

"Shall subsection (c) of Section 4 of Article II of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to delete the reference to 'male' in stipulating the qualifications to register as a voter in this State?"

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

58

Vote Yes (For)

59

Vote No (Against)

State South Carolina

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 139,144; No = 86,946State Constitutional Amendment No. 3.

"Shall Article VII of the Constitution of this State be amended to permit the establishment and financial support of regional councils of governments and to provide for financial support for implementing the recommendations of such governments?"

60

Vote Yes (For)

61

Vote No (Against)

1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 173,5881 No = 61,646State Constitutional Amendment No. 4.

"Shall Section 4 of Article X of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to allow the General Assembly to provide homestead tax exemptions?"

62

Vote Yes (For)

63

Vote No (Against)

State South Carolina

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 145,389; No = 77,462State Constitutional Amendment No. 5

"Shall Section 11 of Article XI of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to exempt funds collected by the State under laws concerning unclaimed or abandoned property enacted after January 1, 1971, from the provisions of the section?"

---



---



---



---

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 175,799; No = 53,171State Constitutional Amendment No. 6.

"Shall Section 9 of Article XII of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to authorize the General Assembly to permit certain inmates at South Carolina Correctional Institutions to be placed in correctional institutions of other states or the federal government for confinement, treatment or rehabilitation?"

---



---



---



---

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

State South Carolina

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 112,703; No = 56,848Local Constitutional Amendment No. 3.

"Shall Sections 5 and 6 of Article X of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to permit the County of Spartanburg to levy taxes or issue bonds for all public purposes, services and facilities in those areas where such services and facilities are not provided by special purpose districts or incorporated municipalities?

68

Vote Yes (For)

69

Vote No (Against)

Referenda

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

State South Carolina

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 278,800; No = 119,878Amendment No. 1:

"SHALL SECTION 4 OF ARTICLE II OF THE CONSTITUTION OF SOUTH CAROLINA, 1895, BE AMENDED TO REDUCE THE AGE OF SUFFRAGE FROM TWENTY-ONE TO EIGHTEEN; TO ABOLISH DURATIONAL RESIDENCE REQUIREMENTS AS A PREREQUISITE TO SUFFRAGE, AND TO PROVIDE THAT ANY REGISTERED ELECTOR WHO HAS MOVED HIS PLACE OF RESIDENCE WITHIN THE STATE DURING THE THIRTY DAYS IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO THE DATE OF ANY ELECTION SHALL BE ENTITLED TO VOTE IN HIS PREVIOUS PRECINCT OF RESIDENCE IN SUCH ELECTION ONLY?"

70

Vote Yes (For)

71

Vote No (Against)

1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 231,703; No = 158,953Amendment No. 2:

"SHALL SECTION 20 OF ARTICLE V OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THIS STATE BE AMENDED TO PROVIDE FOR THE ELECTION, TERMS, DUTIES AND COMPENSATION OF CLERKS OF COURT, CORONERS, SHERIFFS AND SOLICITORS AND TO AUTHORIZE THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO PROVIDE FOR ADDITIONAL OFFICERS?"

72

Vote Yes (For)

73

Vote No (Against)

State South Carolina

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 252,148; No = 141,831Amendment No. 3:

"SHALL SECTION 1 OF ARTICLE VIII-A OF THE CONSTITUTION OF SOUTH CAROLINA 1895 BE AMENDED TO PROHIBIT THE SALE OF ALCOHOLIC LIQUORS IN RETAIL LIQUOR STORES FROM BETWEEN SUNSET TO SUNRISE TO BETWEEN THE HOURS OF SEVEN O'CLOCK P.M. AND NINE O'CLOCK A.M.?"

74

Vote Yes (For)

75

Vote No (Against)

1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 216,498; No = 146,906Amendment No. 4:

"SHALL SECTION 1 OF ARTICLE XVI OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THIS STATE BE AMENDED SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT FOR THE GENERAL ELECTION IN 1976 PROPOSALS MAY BE MADE FOR THE REVISION OF AN ENTIRE ARTICLE OF THE CONSTITUTION OR THE ADDITION OF A NEW ARTICLE AS A SINGLE AMENDMENT WITH ONLY ONE QUESTION BEING REQUIRED TO BE VOTED ON AND TO ALLOW CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS FROM OTHER ARTICLES TO BE CHANGED IF SUCH PROVISIONS RELATE TO THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THE ARTICLE BEING REVISED OR PROPOSED?"

76

Vote Yes (For)

77

Vote No (Against)

State South Carolina

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 242,878; No = 140,648Amendment No. 5:

"SHALL SECTION 7 OF ARTICLE XVII OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THIS STATE BE AMENDED SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT THE GAME OF BINGO, CONDUCTED BY RELIGIOUS, CHARITABLE OR FRATERNAL ORGANIZATIONS OR WHEN CONDUCTED AT RECOGNIZED ANNUAL STATE AND COUNTY FAIRS EXEMPT FROM FEDERAL INCOME TAXATION, SHALL NOT BE DEEMED A LOTTERY?"

78

Vote Yes (For)

79

Vote No (Against)

1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 252,992; No = 131,538Amendment No. 6:

"SHALL THE CONSTITUTION OF THIS STATE BE AMENDED TO PROVIDE THAT ALL PERSONS EIGHTEEN YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER SHALL BE SUI JURIS AND ENDOWED WITH FULL LEGAL RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES, PROVIDED, THAT THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY MAY RESTRICT THE SALE OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES TO PERSONS UNTIL AGE TWENTY-ONE?"

80

Vote Yes (For)

81

Vote No (Against)



State South Carolina

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1978

Referenda

Constitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 7, 1978Yes = 235,628; No = 217,110

## NO. 1

"Shall Article III of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to add Section 36 to require that a procedure be followed to require a state general fund reserve of an amount equal to five percent of the general fund revenue of the latest completed fiscal year and to authorize the General Assembly to appropriate the amount of such reserve when approved by two-thirds of the membership of each house?"

82

Vote Yes (For)

83

Vote No (Against)

84

Total Votes

85

Vote Yes (Percent)

86

Vote No (Percent)

1978

Referenda

Constitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 7, 1978Yes = 240,490; No = 196,743

## NO. 2

"Shall Section 11 of Article X of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to specify that electric cooperatives are included among that class of electric utilities with which the General Assembly may authorize the South Carolina Public Service Authority to become a joint owner of electric generation or transmission facilities, or both, and to enter into and carry out agreements with, regarding such jointly owned facilities?"

87

Vote Yes (For)

88

Vote No (Against)

89

Total Votes

90

Votes Yes (Percent)

91

Votes No (Percent)

State South Carolina

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1978

Referenda

Constitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 7, 1978Yes = 305,520; No = 127,353

## NO. 3

"Shall Article X of the Constitution of this State be amended by adding a new section to provide that increased benefits under a retirement or pension system funded in whole or in part by public funds shall not be paid unless the governing body of the system first determines that funding for the increase has been provided by law, to provide that the General Assembly shall provide funds to insure that state retirement systems are funded on a sound actuarial basis and to prohibit the use of retirement funds for other purposes?

92

Vote Yes (For)

93

Vote No (Against)

94

Total Votes

95

Vote Yes (Percent)

96

Vote No (Percent)

1978

Referenda

Constitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 7, 1978Yes = 262,822; No = 163,024

## NO. 4

"Shall Section 1, Article XVI of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to provide that for the general elections in 1980 and 1982 proposals may be made for the revision of an entire article of the Constitution or the addition of a new article as a single amendment with only one question being required to be voted on and to allow constitutional provisions from other articles to be changed if such provisions relate to the subject matter of the article being revised or proposed, and so as to delete the requirement that a proposed amendment providing for a change in the bonded debt limitation of a county or any of its political subdivisions shall be voted on only by the qualified electors of such county?

97

Vote Yes (For)

98

Vote No (Against)

99

Total Votes

100

Votes Yes (Percent)

101

Votes No (Percent)

State South Carolina

# REFERENDA

Var. #

Description

1978

Referenda

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 7, 1978

Yes = 325,587; No = 116,026

## NO. 5

"Shall Section 3, Article XVII of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to provide that a divorce may be allowed on the grounds of continuous separation for a period of one year rather than three years?"

102

Vote Yes (For)

103

Vote No (Against)

104

Total Votes

105

Vote Yes (Percent)

106

Vote No (Percent)

Referenda

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

Total Votes

Votes Yes (Percent)

Votes No (Percent)

State South Carolina

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1980 Ballot ProposalsConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election--November 4th, 1980Yes=414,008 No=327,567

"Shall Section 3 of Article IV of the Constitution  
of this State be amended so as to allow the Governor  
to be elected for two successive terms?"

107  
 108  
 109  
 110  
 111

Vote Yes (For)  
 Vote No (Against)  
 Total Votes  
 Vote Yes (percent)  
 Vote No (percent)

State South Carolina

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1980 Ballot ProposalsConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election--November 4th, 1980Yes=355,077 No=323,812

"Shall Section 28 of the Article III of the Constitution of this State  
be amended so as to provide that the General Assembly shall enact such  
laws as will exempt real and personal property of a debtor from attach-  
ment, levy and sale and to delete various provisions relating to existing  
specific exemptions and exceptions thereto?"

112  
 113  
 114  
 115  
 116

Vote Yes (For)  
 Vote No (Against)  
 Total Votes  
 Vote Yes (percent)  
 Vote No (percent)

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1982 Ballot ProposalsGeneral Election November 2, 1982Yes = 342,511 No = 147,313

Shall Section 7 of Article VI of the Constitution  
of this State be amended so as to add the Commissioner  
of Agriculture to the elective officers named in  
the Constitution?

117  
 118  
 119  
 120  
 121

Vote Yes (For)  
 Vote No (Against)  
 Total Votes  
 Vote Yes (percent)  
 Vote No (percent)

State \_\_\_\_\_

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1982 Ballot ProposalsGeneral Election November 2, 1982Yes = 233,992 No = 243,832

Shall Section 3 of Article X of the Constitution  
of this State be amended so as to permit municipi-  
palities to exempt from municipal ad valorem  
taxation for not more than five years all new  
manufacturing establishments and additions to  
 existing manufacturing establishments of fifty thousand dollars or more located  
 within the boundaries of a municipality?

122  
 123  
 124  
 125  
 126

Vote Yes (For)  
 Vote No (Against)  
 Total Votes  
 Vote Yes (percent)  
 Vote No (percent)

State SOUTH CAROLINA

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1986 Ballot ProposalsConstitutional Amendment, Uniform Office Term  
General Election: November 4, 1986

Yes = 484,440      No = 58835

"Shall Section 26 of Article V of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to require the General Assembly to provide a uniform term of office for all magistrates in this State?"

EXPLANATION: Under the present Constitution, some magistrates in South Carolina have a term of office for four years and other magistrates the same. This change in the Constitution would require the General Assembly to make the term of office the same for every magistrate in the State of South Carolina.

127  
128  
129  
130  
131

Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

State \_\_\_\_\_

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description                     Ballot Proposals

Vote Yes (For)  
Vote No (Against)  
Total Votes  
Vote Yes (percent)  
Vote No (percent)

## State SOUTH CAROLINA

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1988

| <u>Variable #</u> | <u>Description</u>                |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 132               | Vote Yes (For)                    |
| 133               | Vote No (Against)                 |
| 134               | Total Votes                       |
| 135               | Vote Yes (percent)                |
| 136               | Vote No (percent)                 |
|                   | Constitutional Amendment, General |
|                   | Election: November 8, 1988.       |
|                   | YES = 596,110      NO = 184,045   |

Amendment No. 1

A. "Shall Section 22 of Article V of the Constitution of this State, relating to grand and petit juries be amended so as to authorize the General Assembly to establish a state grand jury by general law?"

Explanation of Above

The Constitution presently authorizes only county grand juries whose powers are basically confined to one county. If approved, this amendment would permit the General Assembly to establish a state grand jury with authority statewide.

| <u>Variable #</u> | <u>Description</u>                |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 137               | Vote Yes (For)                    |
| 138               | Vote No (Against)                 |
| 139               | Total Votes                       |
| 140               | Vote Yes (percent)                |
| 141               | Vote No (percent)                 |
|                   | Constitutional Amendment, General |
|                   | Election: November 8, 1988.       |
|                   | YES = 514,307      NO = 216,399   |

B. "Shall Section 11 of Article I of the Constitution of this State, relating to the requirement that no person may be held to answer for any crime where the punishment exceeds a fine of two hundred dollars or imprisonment for thirty days, unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury where the crime has been committed with certain exceptions be amended so as to provide that nothing in the Constitution is deemed to limit or prohibit the establishment by the General Assembly of a state grand jury with the authority to return indictments irrespective of the county where the crime has been committed and with that other authority, including procedure, as the General Assembly may provide?"

Explanation of Above

The Constitution presently provides that a person accused of a crime must be indicted (charged or accused) by the grand jury of the particular county where the crime was committed. If approved, this amendment would permit a state grand jury to indict (charge or accuse) a person of a crime regardless of the county where the crime was committed. The proposed amendment also permits the General Assembly to grant additional powers to a state grand jury.

## State SOUTH CAROLINA

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1988

| <u>Variable #</u> |                    | <u>Description</u>                |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 142               | Vote Yes (For)     | Constitutional Amendment, General |
| 143               | Vote No (Against)  |                                   |
| 144               | Total Votes        | Election: November 8, 1988.       |
| 145               | Vote Yes (percent) |                                   |
| 146               | Vote No (percent)  | YES = 457,556      NO = 289,029   |

Amendment No. 2

A. "Must Section 24 of Article III of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to add members of lawfully and regularly organized fire departments and constables as persons who are exempt from the prohibition against members of the General Assembly holding two offices?"

Explanation of Above

The amendments, 2A, 2B, 2C, would permit members of lawfully and regularly organized fire departments and constables to serve in the General Assembly or to hold another office of honor or profit at the same time.

| <u>Variable #</u> |                    | <u>Description</u>                |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 147               | Vote Yes (For)     | Constitutional Amendment, General |
| 148               | Vote No (Against)  |                                   |
| 149               | Total Votes        | Election: November 8, 1988.       |
| 150               | Vote Yes (percent) |                                   |
| 151               | Vote No (percent)  | YES = 438,731      NO = 287,760   |

B. "Must Section 3 of Article VI of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to provide that the prohibition against dual officeholding does not apply to members of lawfully and regularly organized fire departments and constables who hold another office?"

Explanation of Above

See explanation of Amendment 2A



## State SOUTH CAROLINA

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1988

| <u>Variable #</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| 152               | Vote Yes (For)     |
| 153               | Vote No (Against)  |
| 154               | Total Votes        |
| 155               | Vote Yes (percent) |
| 156               | Vote No (percent)  |

Constitutional Amendment, General  
Election: November 8, 1988.  
YES = 426,730      NO = 277,339

C. "Must Section 1A of Article XVII of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to provide that the prohibition against dual officeholding does not apply to members of lawfully and regularly organized fire departments and constables who hold another office?"

Explanation of Above

See explanation of Amendment 2A

| <u>Variable #</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| 157               | Vote Yes (For)     |
| 158               | Vote No (Against)  |
| 159               | Total Votes        |
| 160               | Vote Yes (percent) |
| 161               | Vote No (percent)  |

Constitutional Amendment, General  
Election: November 8, 1988.  
YES = 559,814      NO = 186,317

Amendment No. 3

"Must Section 24 of Article V of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to provide that the General Assembly may provide by law for the age and qualifications of sheriffs?"

Explanation of Above

The Constitution currently provides no age or other qualifications for sheriff. This amendment would allow the General Assembly to establish age and other qualifications for the office of sheriff.

## State SOUTH CAROLINA

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1988

| <u>Variable #</u> | <u>Description</u>              |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| 162               | Vote Yes (For)                  |
| 163               | Vote No (Against)               |
| 164               | Total Votes                     |
| 165               | Vote Yes (percent)              |
| 166               | Vote No (percent)               |
|                   | Election: November 8, 1988.     |
|                   | YES = 408,747      NO = 265,732 |

Amendment No. 4

"Must Section 36 of Article III of the Constitution of this State be amended to change the name of the General Fund Reserve to the General Reserve Fund, reduce from four to three percent of the general fund revenue of the latest completed fiscal year the amount required in the fund, delete provisions requiring a special vote to adjust the percentage required in the fund, delete provisions requiring the General Assembly review the law on this subject every five years, provide a mechanism for restoring the fund should monies from the fund be expended, require a Capital Reserve Fund equal to two percent of the general fund revenue of the latest completed fiscal year, and provide that before March first the fund must be used to offset mid-year budget reductions before mandating cuts in operating appropriations and after March first monies from the fund may be appropriated by a special vote in separate legislation by the General Assembly to finance in cash previously authorized capital improvement bond projects, retire bond principal or interest on bonds previously issued, and for capital improvements or other nonrecurring purposes which must be ranked in order of priority of expenditure and not be funded until thirty days after completion of the fiscal year and provide that any appropriations of monies from the Capital Reserve Fund after March first must be reduced based on the rank of priority beginning with the lowest priority to the extent necessary and applied to the year-end deficits before withdrawing monies from the General Reserve Fund and to provide that monies in the Capital Reserve Fund not appropriated or any appropriation for a particular project or item which has been reduced due to application of the monies to year-end deficit must lapse and be credited to the General Fund?"

## State SOUTH CAROLINA

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1988

## Explanation of Amendment No. 4(pg. 41)

Explanation of Above

The present Constitution requires that the General Assembly set aside each year sufficient money to keep in reserve a fund equal to four (4) percent of the general funds received by the State in the last fiscal year, (a period of 12 months which is not necessarily January 1 - December 31). These reserve funds may be used only to pay operating debts of the State Government, which happens when the State spends more money than it takes in for operating purposes. The Constitution also requires the General Assembly to provide by law for a way to put back into the reserve fund any amounts taken out to pay operating debts.

The amendment proposes to change the name of the General Fund Reserve to General Reserve Fund. It also reduces the amount required to be kept in the Fund from four (4) percent of the general funds received by the State in the last fiscal year to three (3) percent. It removes the provisions requiring a special vote to adjust the percentage required to be kept in the Fund. It also removes provisions requiring the General Assembly to review the reserve fund law every five (5) years.

The proposed amendment also creates a capital reserve fund equal to two (2) percent of the general fund revenue of the latest completed fiscal year. Before March 1 of each year, the amount authorized for that year for the Capital Reserve Fund must be used to take the place of mid-year budget reductions before requiring cuts in the money for general operations previously authorized by the General Assembly to be spent. The proposed amendment also permits that after March 1, the Capital Reserve Fund may be authorized to be spent for specified purposes which must be ranked in priority by special vote of the General Assembly in separate legislation. The authorization for the money to be spent for these specified purposes is effective thirty (30) days after the end of the fiscal year.

The amendment also provides that where more money is spent by the end of the fiscal year than is taken in, before money can be taken from the General Reserve Fund to make up the deficit, money authorized to be spent by the General Assembly from the Capital Reserve Fund must be used first, beginning with the specified Capital Reserve Fund purpose given the lowest priority by the General Assembly.

The amendment also states that at the end of the fiscal year, any money in the Capital Reserve Fund which is not voted to be spent by the General Assembly for a specified purpose or money which was left over after the funds originally intended for the specified purpose were applied to the end of the year deficit, must go back to the general fund of the State.

## State SOUTH CAROLINA

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1988

| <u>Variable #</u> |                    | <u>Description</u>                |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 167               | Vote Yes (For)     | Constitutional Amendment, General |
| 168               | Vote No (Against)  |                                   |
| 169               | Total Votes        | Election: November 8, 1988.       |
| 170               | Vote Yes (percent) |                                   |
| 171               | Vote No (percent)  | YES = 466,090      NO = 272,635   |

Amendment No. 5

"Must Section 22 of Article V of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to delete the requirement that jurors must be qualified electors of this State and be of good moral character, and provide that jurors must be residents of this State and have such other qualifications as the General Assembly may prescribe?"

Explanation of Above

No explanation necessary.

| <u>Variable #</u> |                    | <u>Description</u>                |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 172               | Vote Yes (For)     | Constitutional Amendment, General |
| 173               | Vote No (Against)  |                                   |
| 174               | Total Votes        | Election: November 8, 1988.       |
| 175               | Vote Yes (percent) |                                   |
| 176               | Vote No (percent)  | YES = 527,308      NO = 198,135   |

Amendment No. 6

"Shall Section 11 of Article I of the Constitution of this State relating to presentment or indictment be amended so as to require presentment or indictment by a grand jury for any crime the jurisdiction over which is not within the magistrate's court?"

Explanation of Above

No explanation necessary.

## State SOUTH CAROLINA

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1988

| <u>Variable #</u> | <u>Description</u>              |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| 177               | Vote Yes (For)                  |
| 178               | Vote No (Against)               |
| 179               | Total Votes                     |
| 180               | Vote Yes (percent)              |
| 181               | Vote No (percent)               |
|                   | YES = 474,102      NO = 246,407 |

"Shall Section 13 of Article VIII of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to provide that counties, subject to the General Assembly first providing by law for bonded indebtedness and school fiscal ability considerations, may jointly develop an industrial or business park with other counties within the geographical boundaries of one or more of the member counties, where the area comprising the parks and all property having a situs therein is exempt from all ad valorem taxation because the owners or lessees of any property situated in the park must pay an amount equivalent to the property taxes or other in-lieu-of payments that would have been due and payable except for the above exemption?"

This amendment would allow counties to develop together an industrial or business park. The owners and lessees in the park would be exempt from all ad valorem (property) taxes. They would be required, however, to pay a charge instead of property taxes that is equal to property taxes or other payments in place of taxes. The amendment also provides the procedures for the counties involved to agree on sharing the expenses and profits of the park. Before a park can be created, the General Assembly must determine how the value of the property in the park will be set for purposes of issuing bonds and for purposes of school fiscal ability considerations.

| <u>Variable #</u> | <u>Description</u>              |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| 182               | Vote Yes (For)                  |
| 183               | Vote No (Against)               |
| 184               | Total Votes                     |
| 185               | Vote Yes (percent)              |
| 186               | Vote No (percent)               |
|                   | YES = 481,152      NO = 241,244 |

Amendment No. 8

"Must Section 1 of Article XVI of the Constitution be amended so as to provide that proposals may be made for the general election year 1990 for the revision of an entire article or the addition of a new article in a single amendment with only one question being required to be voted on and to allow constitutional provisions from other articles to be changed if the provisions relate to the subject matter of the article being revised or proposed?"

Explanation of Above

The permanent provisions of the Constitution require that each proposed amendment be stated in a separate question on the ballot, and that the question relate only to that proposed change; however, for past general elections, there has been a temporary provision authorizing a whole article to be amended, a new article added and the changing of provisions from one article to another by asking only one question. This procedure has been followed in order to amend the Constitution article by article. The revision of some articles has not been completed. This amendment would enable the continued revision article by article using only one question.

Amendment No. 7

Explanation of Above

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: SC

Variable #Description:

|      |                    |  |                             |
|------|--------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| V187 | Vote Yes (For)     | Constitutional Amendment               |                             |
| V188 | Vote No (Against)  |  |                             |
| V189 | Total Votes        | <u>Primary</u> <u>X</u> <u>General</u> | <u>Special</u> <u>Other</u> |
| V190 | Vote Yes (percent) | Date: 11/6/90                          |                             |
| V191 | Vote No (percent)  | YES = 210,008                          | NO = 431,724                |

**AMENDMENT NO. 1**

This amendment has two separate proposed questions. Each one will be explained separately following the question.

A. "Shall Section 22 of Article V of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to restrict the jurisdiction of the state grand jury to crimes involving narcotics, dangerous drugs, or controlled substances and crimes involving obscenity or any attempt, solicitation, or conspiracy to commit any of these crimes if the crimes are of a multi-county nature or have transpired, are transpiring, or have significance in more than one county of this State?"

Yes ☐  
No ☐

R1

Those voting in favor of the question shall deposit a ballot with a check or cross mark in the square after the word 'Yes,' and those voting against the question shall deposit a ballot with a check or cross mark in the square after the word 'No'."

**EXPLANATION OF ABOVE**

The proposed amendment would limit the powers of the state grand jury to the following crimes that occur in more than one county:

- a. narcotics
- b. dangerous drugs
- c. controlled substances
- d. crime involving obscenity
- e. or any attempt, solicitation, or conspiracy to commit any of these crimes.

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: SC

Variable #Description:

|      |                    |  |                             |
|------|--------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| V192 | Vote Yes (For)     | Constitutional Amendment               |                             |
| V193 | Vote No (Against)  |  |                             |
| V194 | Total Votes        | <u>Primary</u> <u>X</u> <u>General</u> | <u>Special</u> <u>Other</u> |
| V195 | Vote Yes (percent) | Date: 11/6/90                          |                             |
| V196 | Vote No (percent)  | YES = 205,110                          | NO = 416,677                |

B. "Shall Section 11 of Article I of the Constitution of this State be amended so as to provide that the jurisdiction of the state grand jury must be as provided in Section 22 of Article V of the Constitution of this State?"

Yes ☐  
No ☐

R2

Those voting in favor of the question shall deposit a ballot with a check or cross mark in the square after the word 'Yes,' and those voting against the question shall deposit a ballot with a check or cross mark in the square after the word 'No'."

**EXPLANATION OF ABOVE**

The proposed amendment would limit the power of the state grand jury as it is set out in question A above.

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: SC

Variable #Description:

V197 Vote Yes (For)  
 V198 Vote No (Against)  
 V199 Total Votes  
 V200 Vote Yes (percent)  
 V201 Vote No (percent)

## County Tax Question

\_Primary X General \_Special \_Other  
 Date: 11/6/90  
 YES = 212,817 NO = 459,247

Note: This is the aggregate vote. However, the question passes in a county if it votes for it, or fails if not passed in the county...it is a statewide question only because it's voted on in every county. 6 counties passed it, 40 counties did not pass it.

(B) The ballot must read substantially as follows:

Must a one percent sales and use tax be levied in \_\_\_\_\_ County for the purpose of allowing a credit against a taxpayer's county and municipal ad valorem tax liability and for the purpose of funding county and municipal operations in the \_\_\_\_\_ County area?

Yes ☐

No ☐

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State:

Variable #Description:

Vote Yes (For)  
 Vote No (Against)  
 Total Votes  
 Vote Yes (percent)  
 Vote No (percent)

\_Primary \_General \_Special \_Other  
 Date:  
 YES = NO =